

# INTERRACIAL MARRIAGE

## **The Origin of the Races**

Just as God created many different plants and animals - for example, many varieties and colours of roses - for greater beauty, so God created the three broad races and colours of human skin - white, yellow and black. God does not reveal in the Bible the precise origin of the different races but is fair conjecture based on **Acts 17:26** that in Eve were created ovaries containing yellow and black genes also, so that some of Adam and Eve's children were black and yellow as well as white.

Adam and Eve were given by God all the genes capable of producing children having the varied characteristics that are now manifested in the different races. This DOES NOT mean any of those children were a mix of racial appearance though just in those first few generations they carried genes that produced some offspring of a different race to their own (natural beneficial mutations of God's design). Once the 3 or 4 broad races (black/brown, white and yellow) and 16 sub-races (eg. West Africans, Indians, Polynesians, Melanesians, etc. within the black race) were manifest after a few generations they then produced only after their kind. Jewish tradition indicates that Cain was black and that Abel was reddish in skin colour (Book of Enoch 84:5). Seth was white in skin colour (**Genesis 5:3**).

A similar thing to Adam and Eve must have happened with Noah and his wife (some traditions give her name as Namaah). If Ham was white and he married a West African woman there is no way naturally that any of their children could have been Aboriginal or Indian. There had to have been some supernatural injection of genetic material from God into Noah and his family. Evidence for this can be found in the name of Ham which literally means "burnt" or black. Also, without such an injection of extra genes into the family of Noah, marriage between brothers and sisters or between first cousins would have become genetically dangerous for their children a lot earlier than when God finally forbid it at the time of the Exodus.

## **History of the Teaching in the Church**

There is no specific command that says "thou shalt not marry someone of a different race" in the Bible. You can look from Genesis to Revelation and you will not find one. On the other hand, you will not find one direct example of God giving approval for any interracial couple in the Bible.

Unfortunately neither point of view, for or against interracial marriage, can be proved by DIRECT evidence. Whichever point of view is the correct one can only be proved by INDIRECT evidence and those on both sides of the argument need to keep that point firmly in mind. I wish this doctrine was more easily proven one way or the other in the Bible as this doctrine does affect people's lives, but, from my humble point of view, the evidence leans more against it than for it. This is quite a complex subject not unlike the subject of divorce and re-marriage.

There are many scriptures where God forbid Israel from marrying Gentiles. Those commands are primarily religious in nature to prevent them from straying from God's ways. Because of other verses and principles in the Bible, the church under Mr Armstrong concluded that those scriptures where Israel was forbidden to marry Gentile nations are, secondarily, racial in nature to preserve the wonderful varieties that God has created in the human race.

In the WCG under Mr Armstrong the teaching against interracial marriage was built upon principle much in the same way that smoking was declared a sin. You will find no specific command against smoking but it does violate the principle of glorifying God in your body (**1 Corinthians 3:16-17, 6:1-20**).

In a letter from the Personal Correspondence Department of the Worldwide Church of God, dated January 1988, the Church's long-standing teaching is described the following way:

God created Eve with the capability of producing children having the varied characteristics that are now manifested in the different races...The children and grandchildren of Adam and Eve would have naturally separated into families of racially similar people, and as they continued to marry within their own groups, distinct racial traits would have become established. God tells us that He separated the families of man and decreed the boundaries of their dwelling places (**Deuteronomy 32:8; Acts 17:26**).

Natural barriers, such as mountain ranges and oceans, would have served to keep the racial families apart and prevent amalgamation. Thus, God intended that there be different races and He caused them to develop.

God intended nations to be **SEPARATED**, for the most part, to prevent intermixing on a large scale. This is a major reason why God confused the languages and forced the nations to spread out from each other at the Tower of Babel. On pages 148-152 of Mystery of the Ages Mr Armstrong writes:

Men 'took them wives of all which THEY chose [**Genesis 6:2**].' There was rampant and universal interracial marriage. [The Bible emphasises] that Noah was unblemished or perfect in his generations - his ancestry [The word used is the same word used for unblemished animal sacrifices - **Genesis 6:9**] ...

These people were not only of one language, they were of three races or families - white, yellow and black...God intended to prevent racial intermarriages. But man has always wanted to violate God's laws, intentions and ways. They wanted to become one race or family through intermarriage of races... God had set the bounds of the races, providing for geographical segregation, in peace and harmony but without discrimination. But the people wanted to be of one amalgamated people. One purpose of the tower of Babel was to unite them, and to prevent them from being scattered.

The prohibition against interracial marriage was church teaching in the Worldwide Church of God for some 50 years up until 1991 when Joseph Tkach changed the teaching near the beginning of the doctrinal apostasy in Worldwide. There was a brief time in the late 70's when the policy was relaxed behind Mr Armstrong's back when he was travelling very heavily meeting world leaders. Many interracial marriages did occur in the church in this brief time.

The prohibition against interracial marriage was re-inforced by Mr Armstrong when he put the church back on the track in the early 80's. In his 1982 sermon on interracial marriage he made clear his view that it was a sin and that he never allowed interracial marriages despite the comments made by some who are for allowing interracial marriage.

The United Church of God took a neutral point of view on the subject until 2005 when it finally produced a doctrinal paper on the subject available on their website and now allows interracial marriage. Soon after that the Church of God Eternal (part of CGCF, formerly Global, that did not merge with United) produced a doctrinal paper supporting Mr Armstrong's view prohibiting interracial marriage.

### **Old Testament Scriptures Related to Interracial Marriage**

God originally set the bounds of national borders, intending nations to be separated for the most part to prevent interracial tension which destroys peace and harmony. "When the Most High

divided to the nations their inheritance (speaking of land or geographic boundaries) He separated (notice, HE separated) the sons of Adam, **He set the bounds of the people" (Deuteronomy 32:8).**

God's action of deliberately separating the families of man to prevent the amalgamation of the people strongly imply that God desires to have pure races and not mixed ones and interracial marriage goes against that desire of God's. That's why generally in the heart of men and women we are usually genetically more attracted to those of our own race. Racial differences and their accompanying cultural differences can also present serious hindrances to a successful marriage. On this point of God separating the peoples in **Deuteronomy 32:8** one author writes:

Notice: God *divided* the nations. That is segregated them! Well, is it not obvious that He does not want them to intermarry? This is so blatantly obvious... And what is the purpose of segregation? To keep the races separate to enable them to maintain their distinctive racial and physical features.... It is self-evident as is so much of Scripture. Consider this: if He wanted mankind to maintain the distinctiveness which He developed, how best to do it? Via setting boundaries of the peoples. Otherwise why do it? (UCG Race Paper Critique, C.M. White)

God commanded Israel in **Deuteronomy 7:3**, "Nor shall you make marriages with them (the Gentile nations around them). You shall not give your daughter to their son, nor take their daughter for your son. For they will turn your sons away from following Me, to serve other gods; so the anger of the LORD will be aroused against you and destroy you suddenly."

There was an exception to this law that God made in **Deuteronomy 21:10-14**. If they went to war against certain nations around them and saw a beautiful virgin they could marry her with certain conditions.

Some would argue that God allowed them to marry someone of a different race. First of all, it has to be remembered that many of the nations around them at this time in history were white. Much like divorce, this situation God only allowed because of the hardness of their hearts. It was, in a sense, the lesser of two evils. The parents of the woman they spared in these cases had been killed in the war and she would have lacked for someone to provide for her. Because Israel was a physical carnal nation, unlike the church today, God allowed this exception.

This case law was also an exception to the command not to marry a non-believer. In **Deuteronomy 7:3** they were commanded not to marry with Gentile women lest their hearts would be turned away from God. This case law where they could take a captive Gentile woman to be wife where she had lost her parents was the only exception God allowed because they were carnal men without God's spirit, unlike in the church today where we have no such exception (**1 Corinthians 7:39, 2 Corinthians 6:14**).

We have an earlier precedent to the command God gave to Israel in **Deuteronomy 7:3** not to marry outside the nation of Israel. Abraham prevented his son Isaac from intermarrying amongst the dark Canaanites then in the land. To his chief servant he said: "You will not take a wife for my son from the daughters of the Canaanites, among whom I dwell but you shall go to my country and to my kindred (his own racial people) and take a wife for Isaac" (**Genesis 24:3-4**).

This was **NOT** a religious matter because the white kindred back in his former land were pagans (**Genesis 31:19**). This was a racial matter. A little later we read that Esau went against his parents wishes when he took wives of the pagan Canaanites in the land (**Genesis 26:34-35**).

In The Eternal Church of God's doctrinal paper on the subject of interracial marriage they write:

The concept that Abraham's and Isaac's son were not to marry a Canaanite woman just because of her religion cannot be correct. We read in **Genesis 11:28** that Abraham (then called Abram) lived in Ur of the Chaldeans... **Joshua 24:2, 14** tells us that Abram's relatives were idol worshippers. The Broadman Bible Commentary states: 'Both Ur and Haran [where Abram went, when he left Ur] were important centers of moon worship, and his living there indicates that Terah [Abram's father] probably was involved in that cult. The fact, however, that Terah practiced idolatry (**Joshua 24:2**) does not mean that he was not also acquainted with the true God. Laban asserts that the God of Nahor and Terah was the God of Abraham' (**Genesis 31:53**).

The Bible strongly indicates that Abraham's relatives, Laban, Rebekkah and Rachel, were still involved in idolatry at the time when Abraham's servant appeared to seek a wife for Isaac, and when Jacob came to live with that family. We read that upon Jacob's departure, his wife Rachel stole the housegod idols of her father Laban (**Genesis 31:34, 30**). From this it follows that Abraham's and Isaac's request of their sons not to marry a Canaanite woman (the Canaanites were idol worshippers) was not ONLY based on religion (as Laban and his household were still engaged in idol worship, too). **It HAD to be based on race.**

At Sarah's pushing Abraham took an Egyptian concubine in Hagar to give them a son (**Genesis 16**). God made it clear to Abraham that was not how He planned for him to become a father of the birthright nations and produce the line of the promised seed (**Genesis 17**).

Moses married an Ethiopian we are told in **Numbers 12:1**. Aaron and Miriam held this against Moses as a mistake in his life but were themselves punished, not for calling something a sin that wasn't a sin but for a different matter of assuming a position of authority that wasn't theirs. God's view of the marriage is not stated.

### **What God allows to happen is not to be confused with what God approves of!**

Josephus tells us that prior to his conversion Moses, as prince of Egypt, led an army against the Cushites or Ethiopians and from that conquest he entered into a political and marital alliance by marrying an Ethiopian princess named Tharbis (Antiquities of the Jews 2.10-11). Moses fled Egypt and then married Zipporah, daughter of the priest of Midian (**Exodus 2:21, 18:1-6**).

Moses was separated from seeing Tharbis for 40 years. It is unclear if Tharbis sought Moses and joined him during the Exodus from Egypt or Aaron and Miriam were simply dragging up some mistake that was way back in his past and no longer a present issue. Either way we have nothing to indicate God's opinion of the marriage.

In Israel's time the command not to intermarry with the nations around them was dual - primarily religious in order to keep them in the worship of God and, secondarily, a racial one to keep their seed pure.

When Israel disobeyed God He said to them in **Jeremiah 2:21**: "I planted you a choice vine, wholly of pure seed. How then have you turned degenerate and become a wild vine?" (KJV). As Nehemiah pointed out, it was by marrying amongst the other races around them (**Nehemiah 13:26-27**) along with following their pagan customs how this happened.

Notice carefully a couple of statutes that show **GOD'S MIND ON MAINTAINING DISTINCTIONS** that He has created throughout nature.

In **Leviticus 19:19** God says: "You shall not let your cattle breed with another kind. You shall not sow your field with mixed seed. Nor shall a garment of mixed linen and wool come upon you."

In **Deuteronomy 22:9-11** we also read: “You shall not sow your vineyard with different kinds of seed, lest the yield of the seed which you have sown and the fruit of your vineyard be defiled. You shall not plow with an ox and a donkey together. You shall not wear a garment of different sorts, *such as wool and linen mixed together.*”

Herbert Armstrong makes the following comments about these passages:

**Why does God not want us even wearing a garment of mixed fabric which is not going to harm or poison the wearer?...God wants His people to learn the lesson - don't mix varieties - keep each variety PURE - DON'T MIX THE RACES!...**

It is a sin, violating God's law, to interbreed even different breeds of animals! God makes it unlawful to interbreed varieties He has created through different mutations.

When God, for a wise purpose beneficial to mankind, blesses us with different varieties HE WANTS THOSE KEPT PURE! He does not want man to UNDO what He has wisely DONE!...

Every breeder of fine livestock knows that champion prize-winning animals must be PURE-BRED! **Stock-raisers recognise this law, as applied to animals. We should be able to recognise it applied to ourselves** (Plain Truth, October 1957, p.29-30).

Rushdoony adds these comments about the same passages:

The commandment, ‘Thou shalt not kill,’ is a law which clearly favors fertility. To harm or destroy the fertility of men, plants, and animals is to violate this law. Hybrids are clearly a violation of this law, as these case laws of **Leviticus 19:19** and **Deuteronomy 22:9-11** make plain. **Hybrid plants and animals are sterile and frustrate the purpose of creation, for God made all plants with their seed ‘in itself’ (Genesis 1:12).**

Hybridization seeks to improve on God's work by attempting to gain the best qualities of two diverse things; there is no question that some hybrids do show certain advantageous qualities, but there is also no question that it comes at a price, bringing some serious disadvantages (The Institutes of Biblical Law, p.255).

In comparing Herbert Armstrong's comments with Rushdoony's commentary it might seem like Mr Armstrong went a little too far in assuming that the law here is against mixing different varieties within the same species. On first appearance the law against interbreeding different breeds of animals appears to be directed primarily against interbreeding different species that produce sterile offspring (such as a horse and donkey to produce a sterile mule), not primarily against cross-breeding within the same species.

When we look closer at the Hebrew a much stronger case can be made for the word “kind” in Leviticus 19:19 (Hebrew: kilayim) being translated as variety within a species rather than species. I shall quote from another article by Dr Herman Hoeh to explain:

He tells us not to let different kinds or varieties mix. In the Hebrew language, there is only one word meaning both "kind" and "variety." The word "kind" here must mean varieties of the original Genesis kind. The original Genesis kinds can never mix. Cattle can never interbreed with sheep. They are of two different Genesis kinds. But this law means that we are not to allow different kinds, that is, varieties of cattle to interbreed.

Every breeder of purebred stock recognizes this law. No breeder would allow his prizewinning purebred stock to degenerate by mating with any other than the purebred strain. He would have no pure strains left. Mongrels or hybrids would result, instead. God wants us to keep the strains pure - to bring out the best qualities in each (Plain Truth, October 1957, p.21-22).

So given that there isn't separate Hebrew words to denote the difference between a genera (meaning of kind in Genesis 1), species and a variety within that species how can we know which is the correct translation for this verse?

The verse says "You shall not let your cattle breed with another kind." If kind meant a species there is only one other species of bovine animals close enough to cattle in the land of Israel to interbreed with and that is an ox. There are other bovine species like yak, buffalo and bison but these are not found in the land of Israel.

If God was referring to cattle and oxen interbreeding then why didn't God just say "You shall not let your cattle breed with your oxen" since a separate word (Hebrew: shor) for oxen is frequently used throughout the early books of the Bible. This strongly supports the view that the correct meaning here for kind is a **VARIETY NOT A SPECIES!**

**If it does mean variety within a species then that is the equivalent in the human species of not interbreeding with someone of a different race.**

God says that He doesn't want us sowing mixed seed in our fields or even wearing a garment of mixed fabric. Dr Hoeh says the reason for this is that He wants His people to learn the lesson of not mixing varieties and **maintaining the distinctions that He has set in nature.**

In **Hosea 7:8-9** God says: "Ephraim [Britain] has mixed himself among the peoples. Ephraim is a cake unturned. Aliens have devoured his strength." Demographically Britain in 2000 was a little over 20% non-white; 50% of Indian males had white wives and 25% of African males had white wives. In this prophecy God appears to be against the mixing that has happened to Ephraim.

### **Interracial Marriage and the New Testament**

Some people quote **Galatians 3:28** to say that there is nothing wrong with interracial marriage since we are "all one in Christ." What the verse says is: "There is neither Jew nor Greek, there is neither slave nor free, there is neither male nor female for you are all one in Christ Jesus." This has nothing to do with interracial marriage. It means that there are no second class christians.

Greeks are no less important than Jews in God's eyes. Women are no less important to God than men. We all have the same access to God and all can have a direct relationship with Him. Spiritually there are no different classes based on those physical distinctions. Having said that, those physical distinctions are still real. Men are still men in the church and women are still women. We haven't lost our physical gender or our racial appearance and qualities because we are christians.

If God does want the races kept pure and doesn't condone interracial marriage there is a simple reason for why there is no specific "thou shalt not marry outside your race" command to the Israelites. The command not to marry someone of the Gentile nations covered that. It was primarily to keep them religiously pure but it also served to keep them racially pure.

Why is there no command against interracial marriage in the New Testament? Perhaps it is partly as a test from God so that we have to rely on indirect evidence and exercise wisdom and discernment which God wants us all to grow in. Even with divorce and remarriage there are ambiguities in the scriptures which church groups differ over when interpreting. God wants to test

us to develop the wisdom to make right judgments, be objective and not lean on our own understanding as we will be judges in the World Tomorrow even higher than the angels (**1 Corinthians 6:1-4**).

Also, the issue of interracial marriage may not have been much of an issue in the first century church because the Jews had been so used to being so separate from Gentiles where there had been Pharisaical laws forbidding them to even eat with Gentiles let alone marry them.

Paul would often use draw principles from Old Testament statutes in order to make a case for a particular point of view. In **1 Corinthians 9:9** he wrote: "For it is written in the law of Moses, 'You shall not muzzle an ox while it treads out the grain.' **Is it oxen God is concerned about? Or does He say *it* altogether for our sakes?"** He then went on to make a point that ministers are allowed to draw a wage from the tithes given to the church.

**Yes, we are supposed to draw principles from these "lesser statutes" and apply them to our situations where appropriate because they teach us about the mind of God in areas where we do not have specific instructions.**

Similarly Paul would use laws or principles found in nature to make a case for certain things. He said that homosexuality is against nature (**Romans 1:26-27**). The physical plumbing is all wrong for homosexual sex. Paul also said: "Does not even nature itself teach you that if a man has long hair, it is a dishonor to him?" (**1 Corinthians 11:14**). He said that it is against nature and a shame for a man to have long hair.

#### **Physical Principles as applied to Interracial Marriage**

Just as smoking goes against the natural laws of good health and is a sin as a result, we can use physical principles in making a judgment on the subject of interracial marriage.

God wants us to keep the racial varieties pure in order to bring out the best qualities in each. In animal breeding enhancements from mixing varieties are called hybrid vigour and they occasionally appear in the first generation but this is lost in future generations giving way to stock that have less of the genetic strengths of the original parents.

Animal breeders (such as horse and cattle breeders) are very well aware of these principles in animals and, for the most part, strive to breed purebred animals. Genetic qualities are sometimes enhanced with interbreeding (eg. in the human species we have the example of the freakish golfing talent of Tiger Woods, though that is probably not due to the cross of races from his parents) but this is much more the exception than the rule.

These same genetic laws occur in the human species as well. The genetic strengths of each contributing race in a line of descendents gets diluted through repeated interracial marriage. (We are talking about genetic strengths or natural talents here **NOT** superiority or inferiority in terms of human worth to God). We're also not just talking about a dilution of the strengths of the white race **but also the strengths of the black and Asian races as well.**

**Those who are left-wing in their views and push political correctness see any restriction on interracial marriage as racist. Quite the opposite is true. Sufficient interracial marriage within a line of descendants will destroy the wonderful distinctive qualities found in each race, whether they are black, yellow or white!**

The following short article appeared in an American newspaper highlighting this point:

## American Indians Object to “Loss of Identity”

American Indians are increasingly concerned that assimilation is causing them to lose their unique identity and will result in their disappearance according to a number of US news sources.

“Does intermarriage threaten Native American culture?” is the headline of an article in The Oregonian newspaper from the state of Oregon.

According to that paper, American Indians fear that “continued assimilation will relegate Native American culture to history books.”

The article quotes an American Indian, Marcus Luke, as saying that, “We are not just like everybody else. My blood comes from this land; my religion comes from this land.”

He says that he is encouraging his son, Aaron, to seek a Native American spouse when he grows up.

Intermarriage has become so rampant, says Mr Luke, that Natives are in danger of losing their culture.

“It’s a touchy issue. It’s tough, really tough,” Mr Luke, who lives near the Umatilla Indian Reservation, was quoted as saying.

“Too much assimilation is what it comes down to. My son is half Native American and half Caucasian. Which way does he go?”

According to The Oregonian, Mr Luke is among many Native Americans across the nation grappling with thorny issues of identity, culture and tribal resources as more among them marry outside tribe and race.

The good distinctive qualities of a race can be destroyed altogether with sufficient enough interracial marriage in a particular line of descendants producing a homogenized human equivalent of a tabby cat.

If God is in favour of the prohibition against interracial marriage then it is a **pro-race** teaching, not racist, in order to maintain the distinctive qualities and best strengths of each of the races including those of the non-white races. Many are more interested in the short-term benefits to care for these long-term effects that God is concerned for. In the short-term, many children who come from mixed marriages can sometimes struggle from the lack of a clear racial identity.

While two people of different racial backgrounds can have a genuine love for one another this is not all that matters when it comes to whether or not it would be good for them to marry each other.

**The interests of the children of such a union also need to be taken into consideration. I believe God does not believe it is showing love for our future children to risk mixing their appearance and not give them a clear sense of racial identity because of what we want and who we want to marry.**

**God wants us to show love for our future children and not selfishly ignore their interests. Let me ask the reader “Would you prefer to be clearly of one race or a blurred mixture of two races?” If the first answer then let your actions protect any future children you might have from the consequences of an interracial union.**

**In determining what is God’s will on this issue would it not be fair to say that it would be the opposite of what Satan would be trying to push onto this world?**



Interracial coupling and marriage is being constantly pushed by our left-wing media in movies and music videos all the time along with homosexuality and other things.

The humanist values of political correctness are being used as tool to weaken nationalism and promote equality of races and cultures and this is important to achieve the goal of one-world government by those in the globalist camp (The United Nations and the Council on Foreign Relations who's members dominate the Western media, politics and universities).

They promote mixing of the races through the media and soft, wide-open immigration laws. The globalists are promoting acceptance of all cultures and religions (every religion and cultural thing no matter how pagan is as good as another), radical feminism, homosexuality, euthanasia, abortion (as a means of population control) and many other things.

The degree to which interracial marriage and coupling is being pushed in movies and the media should send off a red flag to the converted christian that this practice may not quite be God's will. By, and of itself, it is not enough to prove it but combined with the principles and verses we have looked at in this article we can get a clearer perspective of what God's will is on this matter.

God's view on matters can often be different than what we might naturally want them to be (**Romans 8:7**) and this is a test on us whenever there is a conflict as to whether we will believe what we want to believe or submit to God's will on a matter.

Those of the white race are understandably more concerned with this issue because of the genetic fact that most of the physical traits of the white sub-races are recessive, not dominant (eg. blue eyes, blond hair, white skin are all recessive). In a mixed family the non-white genes are more dominant and will come through more often than the white recessive genetic traits.

This genetic fact may be part of the reason why the birthright was not passed on through the family of Esau. Clearly against the wishes of his parents, Isaac and Rebekah, Esau married two Hittite women (**Genesis 26:35-35, 28:6-8**).

His children took mostly after their mothers rather than Esau, and Esau's descendants (today's Palestinians), while having their own particular strengths, did not have the leadership, administrative and inventive strengths that have made the British and American people ideally suited to play the leadership roles that God had in mind for His birthright peoples.

Israel's hereditary, genetic qualities have been used by God in his grand plan of salvation as Herbert Armstrong explains in "Mystery of the Ages":

He was going to demonstrate to them that the mind of MAN, with its one spirit, and without the addition of God's Holy Spirit, could not have spiritual discernment - could not solve human problems, could not cure the evils that were besetting humanity.

The nation Israel would be his guinea pig to demonstrate that fact. Also they had the quality heredity of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob (Israel). God had chosen a nation of almost perfect original strain in its generations - its ancestry. So God entered into a covenant with them, making them HIS NATION. It also represented a MARRIAGE covenant, with Israel the wife, promising obedience to her husband - GOD. It was the physical type of the yet-to-come spiritual NEW COVENANT...

Here was a people of almost clear racial strain, and the God believing heredity of Abraham, Isaac and Israel. Two requisites make a human whatever he becomes in life: heredity and environment. Heredity involves what has been inherited by birth in such areas as health, intelligence and character tendencies. Environment includes all external influences and self-determined motivations - whether good or evil.

Heredity - if of good and high quality - may start one off at an advantage. An inspiring environment, uplifting influences and right self-motivation may further improvement...God started his chosen nation off - even though brought out of slavery - with all the natural advantages of a [quality] heredity. God pulled them out of slavery and gave them a new and fresh start. One might say they had everything God-given going for them (p.140-141).

Even with the advantages of the leadership, administrative and inventive talents that God gave to Israel along with knowledge of His law they have proven that man is UTTERLY hopeless at living God's way of life without the Holy Spirit. Our modern Israelite nations lead the world in many types of sins that God will punish it for during the Great Tribulation.

To Abraham God said of His descendants "and in you shall all families of the earth be blessed" (**Genesis 12:3**). While the modern day descendants of Joseph (American and Britain) have many weaknesses the world has been blessed by the leadership and administrative strengths of the birthright tribes. Author Stephen Collins writes of one trait America shares in common with its ancestor Joseph:

Indeed, America is generous to a fault. So many nations which owe so much to American generosity and help are frequently anti-American in their policies. Americans see this, but simply go on giving anyway. They can't help it; its in their genes that date back to Joseph ("The Lost Ten Tribes of Israel...Found!", p.389).

Most patriotic Americans would like to believe that their nation is a God-fearing and righteous nation. Alongside of those positive character traits that Americans have, though, there are an increasing number of spiritual flaws creeping into America's national character as well as the national character of the other nations descended from Israel. Since the sexual and moral revolution of the 1960's, America and Britain, along with Australia, New Zealand, Canada and the NW European nations, have suffered a terrible moral degeneration with crime and sexual immorality and other sins rapidly escalating as they drift further and further away from God and His way of life.

While Israel has failed their purpose of being a model nation (**Exodus 19:5:6, Deuteronomy 4:6-8**) in this age without the Holy Spirit God will convert them, give them His Holy Spirit and they will eventually fulfill their destiny as a model nation in the millennium (**Isaiah 61:9, Zechariah 8:22-23**). Their example with the Holy Spirit will be a blessing to all nations and all nations will then respond to God and become His spiritual children as well (**Isaiah 19:24-25**).

This DOES NOT mean that the white race is better than other non-white races. Just as children in a family have different talents - one may be very intelligent, another good with music, another is a good leader and another good at athletics - so it is in the human family. No one would say that the very smart child is of greater worth to God than a child of average intelligence who is blessed with a different strength.

The same applies with the different races who are all a part of the human family. One race may be blessed with more individuals who are inventive and good at leadership while another race may have a higher number of individuals with different strengths such as great musicians and artists, etc.

All the different races (black, white and Asian) and sub-races (eg. such as West Africans, Indians, Polynesians, Melanesians, etc. within the black/brown race) have their different talents and strengths. God created those talents within each of the various sub-races. Those talents don't

increase or decrease their spiritual worth in His eyes **but God is still concerned that each race is “all that they can be”**.

God not only cares for their spiritual character but also wants them to be the best they can be physically eg. He wants all of us to enjoy good physical health (**3 John 2**) but no one would say that anyone is less important to God because he has poor health. Our physical traits, be it poor health or loss of genetic purity have **NOTHING** to do with our worth in God’s eyes!

God has given us health laws for our physical benefit. One example is that of not eating any products that come from a pig (**Leviticus 11:7**). To eat pig products is a sin, even just a little when we eat it knowingly. Having said that, I ate bacon for years as a child but never suffered any ill health from breaking that law. Despite there being no negative physical consequences it was still a sin.

The physical consequences of mixing the seed of different races sometimes have little or no negative physical consequences in the short term but that doesn’t automatically mean God is in favour of it, just as my lack of consequences didn’t mean eating bacon was OK. My breaking the health law of not eating bacon is still a sin even though I got away with not feeling the negative effects of my actions.

Sometimes children of mixed marriages take solely after the racial look of one parent and there is no loss of purity in appearance. On other occasions children are a mixture of the two and this can also result in a loss of racial identity for the child who feels not wholly one race or the other.

I was conceived out of wedlock so technically I am a bastard, being a child of fornication. The act of conceiving me was a sin but that does not make me any less important to God. Just because I was born of fornication also does not mean I will defend fornication.

A similar situation applies with a child from a mixed marriage. That child is no less important to God because the parents were not following God’s principles on this matter. Is something horribly wrong with a child of a mixed marriage? Sometimes children of mixed marriages take solely after the racial look of one parent and there is no loss of purity in appearance and there is nothing wrong physically.

On other occasions children are a mixture of the two. They are not a physical ideal but then again a lot of us are not physically ideal as God would like us to be either. For example, as I write this, I am 15 kg overweight. I am not all I can be in God’s eyes physically and I have “missed the mark”. When it comes to where we fall short physically, sometimes we are at fault like my example above and at other times we are the victims of someone else’s sin or circumstances eg. someone disabled by someone else’s bad driving or a genetically deformed child of an incestuous relationship.

God cares for our physical being and has given us laws to prevent loss of physical qualities. We’ve looked at the law of clean and unclean animals. Another example is the prohibition against incestuous marriages (**Leviticus 18**). As odd as it might sound at first, there is nothing wrong with romantic love per se between brother and sister. There was a time before the Exodus when such marriages were allowed by God such as Abraham marrying his half-sister (**Genesis 20:12**).

After enough genetic degeneration in the human family it then became physically dangerous to have children from such relationships and God put up that boundary. Interbreeding between the races is not dangerous healthwise like with incest but there is a loss on a minor scale of genetic strengths that God is also concerned about. It’s another boundary we should respect like the boundary (for our good) of not marrying an unbeliever (**1 Corinthians 7:39, 2 Corinthians 6:14**).

## Respecting God's Boundaries

Just as God wanted Adam and Eve to respect Him by respecting a boundary He had put in place not to eat the forbidden fruit, so too God wants us to respect the many other boundaries He has put in place such as not eating animals that God didn't create to be eaten by humans or the boundary of not profaning God's holy time, the Sabbath. Breaking them in God's eyes is still a sin even if the negative effects don't hit us for quite a long time.

Notice again what it says in **Deuteronomy 32:8**: "When the Most High divided to the nations their inheritance He separated the sons of Adam, He set the **bounds** of the people". **God set down boundaries**. Associated with the tabernacle and the priesthood were quite a number of boundaries God put in place for the Israelites. He wants us to respect the boundaries of the laws that He has given us.

Satan tempts us to ignore God's boundaries by saying God is too restricting. One author notes that Satan hates boundaries citing his complaint about the hedge (**Job 1:10**) that God put around Job (C.M. White, Answers to Questions About Interracial Dating and Marriage, p.6). The heavy multiculturalism in our western world being constantly pushed by our politically correct media is rapidly breaking down the "bounds of the people" God speaks about in **Deuteronomy 32:8**.

If God didn't want the races mixed then why didn't He design the laws of genetics so that children would always have all the racial characteristics of one parent and not the other instead of a mix?

God has not made it impossible for any sin to be impossible? Why should He? After all, He did not make it impossible for us to (mis)use our tongues to lie or mis(use) our hands to slap someone and so on. While He does not want anyone to sin He does not prevent it (Answers to Questions About Interracial Dating and Marriage, p.8, C.M. White).

All the physical traits we receive from our parents are governed by the genetic rules of dominance and recessiveness. Why should God suspend the laws of genetics in the area of racial appearance and physiology so we are unable to reap the consequences if we break God's law in this area?

God wants to test us to see what's in our hearts (**Deuteronomy 13:3**) and whether we will respect the boundaries He has set in place like His sabbath, a boundary some were simply not willing to obey when God tested them in the wilderness during the time the manna fell (**Exodus 16**).

The earliest recorded case of interracial marriage is that of Nimrod (**Genesis 10:8-9**) and his wife Semiramis. Nimrod was a powerful black man and Semiramis a beautiful white woman. Together with their son, Tammuz, they became the great trinity of the ancient world and pillars of Satan's counterfeit religious system that he has foisted upon this world. Of interest, the most common interracial combination in this world today (black man / white woman) just happens to be the same as that of Nimrod and Semiramis.

## Marriage Options for Mixed Children

We do have people in the church who are children of mixed marriages and, of course, the question would be asked, "How would an interracial marriage prohibition affect their options for marriage?" We don't live in an ideal world and the church's policy under Mr Armstrong was that such a person was free to marry of the race they were closest to physically. Sometimes this will give them two options though ideally the best option genetically is with someone of the most dominant (non-recessive) racial genes of the mixed individual.

Some interracial couples were married before they came into the church. Their situation was treated in Mr Armstrong's day in a similar way to that of where one mate was converted and the other wasn't – they were to remain together, especially for the sake of the children. Like with the situation of people who had remarried before they came into the church, God is not in the business of breaking up families because of mistakes made before they were called into the church. God's justice is tempered with mercy.

Regardless of whether or not we feel someone has made a wrong decision in marrying someone of another race I am strongly against people being judgmental in such situations. Whether we feel they've made a mistake or not we should always strive to be friendly to them and accepting of them since the decision cannot be undone once made.

### **Did the End-time Elijah restore this teaching or not?**

God prophesied that the end-time Elijah "shall restore **ALL** things" in **Matthew 17:11**. While some may question how effectively Mr Armstrong "turned the hearts of the fathers to the children and the hearts of the children to their fathers" (**Malachi 4:5-6**) which the end-time Elijah is prophesied to do there should be little or no doubt as to how well he fulfilled the prophecy of restoring all things to the church. Raymond McNair in his article "The End-Time Elijah – Has He Come?" wrote:

The Elijah work occurs *before* Christ's return—preparing the way. The restoration under the end-time Elijah is clearly on a smaller scale. Does he, then, restore God's way, including God's government, to the people of physical Israel? No—as they are destroyed "for lack of knowledge" (**Hosea 4:6**) and will not repent, as many scriptures show, until after Christ returns.

What Mr. Armstrong said makes much more sense—that the restoration described is to *God's Church*, those who are truly His people. And what Mr. Armstrong did restore to the Church is staggering to grasp. As Joseph Tkach and the Worldwide Editorial Staff noted in the 1986 article mentioned earlier, "Without Herbert W. Armstrong's legacy of these 18 restored truths—there isn't much left."

**Further, consider that if Mr. Armstrong *wasn't* the Elijah to come, the restoration is yet to happen. This would mean that we are still far from the faith once delivered—and that either Mr. Armstrong was wrong about most of what he said or the truth he restored is insignificant with respect to what is *still* to be restored from the Apostolic Era.**

If we accept this point that God did restore all things through Herbert Armstrong and that he was the end-time Elijah how does that affect our view of the doctrine of interracial marriage?

Notice that God said He would restore **ALL** things through the end-time Elijah, not some, not most but **ALL!** While this does not mean every interpretation of every verse it does clearly mean every primary and probably each secondary teaching as well. Interracial marriage is an important doctrine which Mr Armstrong never vacillated on, unlike the lesser important teaching of makeup. Personally, for me to go against what he taught on primary and secondary doctrines, would make me feel like I am calling God a liar about him restoring ALL things if Mr Armstrong was indeed the end-time Elijah.

Allowing interracial marriage (though saying they discourage it due to cultural and other natural differences) is a major doctrinal change in the United Church of God that is **very different** to the position which Herbert Armstrong taught. This doctrinal change is a very precarious thing to do in light of the prophecy about the end-time Elijah restoring ALL things. Who someone marries, in my humble opinion, is a far more important life-impacting decision than what someone temporarily

wears for a day and there is little doubt that a teaching of this magnitude would be included in any restoration of doctrine to the church by the end-time Elijah.

In his 1982 sermon on the subject of interracial marriage Herbert Armstrong made these comments making clear his views on not seeing the church follow the politically correct views and trends of society in those occasions when they go against the principles and laws found in the Bible:

I was studying at lunch before the meeting last Sabbath, with our minister there and he said "I think that very likely, the next attack of Satan...that Satan's going to use, is interracial marriage"...Mr. Bob Fahey showed me a letter that had been handed to him from a member. I believe this member is in, yes, just across in New Jersey, just across from New York but had attended the service. And she had been talking to another member who lives in Philadelphia....I'll just read a part of it. She says,

"During the conversation, [that's the telephone conversation she had with this woman in Philadelphia] it was stated that the blacks in God's church are dissatisfied with such doctrines that are not keeping with the current social trend in the world, which they feel are in keeping with the premises of international relationships that are a part of this nation's background"

So we should go along with our nation and the social trends of the world...Well, what does God say about that? **We're not going to go with these trends of the world, the political footballs, the social trends, the things of Satan!...**

***Deuteronomy 32:8** When the most High divided to the nations their inheritance, when he separated the sons of Adam, [notice, segregation not integration] he set the bounds of the people according to the number of the children of Israel.*

Different people, different families or different races, had their own land, their own plot of the earth. And God's way is geographical segregation. And integration [i.e. the **HEAVY** multiculturalism beginning in the 1960's and continuing rapidly since Mr Armstrong's death] is not the way of the Eternal God... And look what its doing in this country. Look what is going on in the 1960's in this nation and the violence that came here and there and all over the nation...But each person is born after his parents and after their kind or family. And we are born in a family. And the Bible doesn't speak about race very much. It speaks about families...

Abraham sent over to his kindred, to his color, but they were of a different religion. So a little later, you will find, Abraham's grandson, Jacob, who had worked for Laban for a long time you know, and when he left Laban, his wife Rachael stole the idols from her father Laban and carried those little idols with her. And Laban came out to find them. They were his gods. So they were worshipping a different god over there. But Abraham sent to get someone of that race, of that color, of that family, for a wife for Isaac, even though they were of a different religion. But I think they got God's religion, once Rebecca came over. She got God's religion through Abraham and through Isaac. So you can say that the only reason for not marrying another race is because it means that you'll be going into a different religion. That's not the reason! Rachael was of a different religion than knowing God and she stole those gods when they fled...

Now, that's what it will be like in the millennium when God is ruling. Now what of us in the Church? In the church, God said that in Abraham would all the families, all the races of the Earth will be blessed in Christ. One race has just as much chance and just as much blessing in Christ as any other. Race is only a matter of generation, of begetting children. And that's why the marriage is so important. God is not the author of discrimination. We should love one another and in God's Church, we've been trying to do that. But we find this racial prejudice, which gets down to hatred if you don't squelch it before it gets that far. It keeps cropping up every once in a while...

Now we have different color of roses. We have different variety in a lot of things. We have different variety of horses, different varieties of cows, different varieties of dogs. And different varieties can interbreed. But if you're a stock raiser and you're raising stock for display, to win a prize, at a stock show, you're not going to mix the breeds. It'll be a thoroughbred or a pure blood or whatever you want to call it. And that's the way it ought to be with us...

We had an interracial marriage here; I don't know was it, one year ago or three years ago? Something like that. Now one man who was one of our leading ministers, endorsed it and was going to perform that wedding. I understand he backed out at the last minute. And the couple...it was a black man and a white woman, they went over to Las Vegas and got married over there.

God is not in favor of interracial marriage. God is not in favor of discrimination either. But marriage and the reproduction of children is another thing altogether. Now this church has done everything it could for the black people. We've tried to have no discrimination of any kind.

Racism and partiality is SIN in God's eyes (**Acts 10:34, James 2:1-9**) and Mr Armstrong was very clear on that.

While a case may be argued for limited multiculturalism, **Dueteronomy 32:8** clearly shows that the heavy multiculturalism of our western nations is opposite to God's way of a different inheritance for each family of the earth. A major part of God's reason to separate the ethnic families of man is to help prevent the kind of interracial tension which destroys peace and harmony. There are significant differences in values and approaches in dealing with issues between peoples of different ethnic backgrounds. God's principle in this verse is aimed at mitigating tensions from such differences. As we move further away from that principle our western societies are becoming more fractured along racial lines.

Interracial marriage is a completely SEPARATE subject and **NOT** to be confused with the subjects of racism or multiculturalism.

**The teaching of not mixing the races is aimed at preserving God's handiwork with the beauty of the differences he has created in all the varieties of mankind and not blurring the distinctive qualities He has created in each race.**

Today, in the church, we have to marry amongst spiritual Israelites only. Marrying outside the church is, in effect, a case of interracial marriage in the spiritual sense.

In conclusion, Rushdoony offers the following comments about the importance of marrying someone compatible to us:

Man was created in the image of God (**Genesis 1:26**), and woman in the reflected image of God in man, and from man (**1 Corinthians 11:1-12; Genesis 2:18, 21-23**). 'Helpmeet' means a reflection or mirror, an image of man, indicating that a woman must have something religiously and culturally in common with her husband.

The burden of the law is thus against inter-religious, inter-racial, and inter-cultural marriages, in that they normally go against the very community which marriage is designed to establish (The Institutes of Biblical Law, p.256-257).